And if atheist scientists prove something, then so do theistic ones. Second, because the questions Larson and Witham used to get those stats on the religiosity of National Academy of Sciences members are weird (not their fault! they were repeating questions used in the teens and '30s). The scientists were asked which of these best described their own views. If the under-representation of theists in the NAS were proof of the incompatibility of science and religion, what do these other demographic divides tell us? If Coyne pointed to those trends and claimed that women are worse at science than men, I think he'd have a serious problem, just as he'd have a problem in pointing to the NAS membership to claim that African Americans are worse at science. And thirdly, his main argument against religion here seems to be that these truths cannot be experimentally confirmed or falsified. But neither can any moral truths, as far as I can tell -- and if he's not prepared to argue against morals in general, it's hard to see the relevance of this argument against religion in particular. Finally, his whole case in general seems to rest on the idea that religion always and primarily offers theses that compete with scientific theses. (Just for the record I'm an atheist, but I really respect religion and this incompatibility of science and religion argument annoys me).
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Abstract
When science was in its infancy, it occasionally occurred that its claims were rejected from a religious point of view. Nowadays the credentials of science as a superior and dynamic method for the fixation of belief are beyond reasonable doubt.
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posted by DWRoelands at 5:48 AM on January 28, 2009. When religion fosters disrespect for science, it threatens the generations of humanity that will follow ours. This thorough and hard-hitting critique is must reading for anyone interested in the interaction between religion and science. Stenger's fair and deadly arguments will help religion to accept its inevitable demise.” —Robert M. Price, professor of biblical criticism, Center for Inquiry Institute, and author of Beyond Born Again “Puts to rest the myth that science and religion can coexist peacefully. Metaphor, Atheist Spirituality, and Immanence A Metaphorical God Spiritual Atheism The Modernist View of Religion Science Works Better 13. From Conflict to Incompatibility The State of Religion Today Is Religion Good for You? Summary of Conflicts Confrontation or Accommodation?